Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/08/28: CIA-RDP87B01034R000500260080-5



## DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

HEADQUARTERS AIR FORCE INTELLIGENCE SERVICE WASHINGTON DC 20330

1 6 MAR 1983

REALY TO ATTN OF

INS

DIA Request Concerning DCID 1/7 Controls (Your SECOM-D-046, 28 Feb 83)

Chairman
DCI Security Committee

- 1. Your memorandum asked for views on waiving the DCID 1/7 NOCONTRACT requirements for the C<sup>3</sup>I Division, MITRE Corporation.
- 2. Before taking action on this request, we suggest that the SECOM develop more definitive guidelines on requests of this nature. As you know, the original intent of DCID 1/7 was to provide relief for government-owned, contractor-operated (GOCO) laboratories performing classified services in support of the intelligence mission of an Intelligence Community organization.
- 3. The MITRE C<sup>3</sup>I Division is a Federal Contract Research Center (FCRC)--not a GOCO. To grant MITRE relief from the DCID 1/7 rules simply because of their FCRC status could well result in a number of other FCRC's asking for similar DCID 1/7 relief. If the SECOM believes that FCRC's should be eligible for DCID 1/7 relief, then the directive should be changed accordingly.

4. We recommend that waivers be considered only for GOCOs and that previous SECOM action on the Institute for Defense Analyses (IDA) be reconsidered in this light.

GEORGE J. MERCURO, Colonel, USAF

Air Force Member

DCI Security Committee

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	ROUTING	G AND	RECOR	D SHEET	
SUBJECT: (Optional)				(mes	1
Waiver of DCID 1/7 Requirements			for Certain Contractors		د
FROM:			EXTENSION	NO. 1	STA
Policy and Plans Group			}	DATE 2 4 MAR 1983	_∕STA
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE		OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)	
	RECEIVED	RECEIVED FORWARDED			
1. C/PPG	3/24	3/25	0	This involves revision of a DCID and should be seen by the	
2.				Director of Security. DIA attempted to take advantage of a precedent (IDA) and Colonel Mercuro is correct in anticipat-	
3. DD/P&M	3/25	3/05		ing several requests for waivers.  Each would occupy SECOM time and	
4. DD/S				attention. I recommend support of the C/SECOM's approach which can be expressed tacitly by no	
5. D/S	24 MAR 1983	28 MAR 1983	K	response. He Concern H.	
δ.	3/28	3 28	sk.	J Concort	STA <sup>-</sup>
7. 05 Rtg.		: 4		D2166	STA <sup>-</sup>
8.				[6]	
9.				AGREE WITH	
10.			ļ		
11.					STAT
12.					
13.					
14.					
15.				053 0213/3	

FORM 610 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS